



22 January 2009, Grenoble, France

A flatness-based iterative method for reference trajectory generation in constrained NMPC

J.A. De Doná ^(1,2), F. Suryawan ⁽¹⁾, M.M. Seron ⁽¹⁾, J. Lévine ⁽²⁾

(1) CDSC, The University of Newcastle, Australia

(2) CAS, ENSMP, Fontainebleau, France



















Differentially Flat Systems

Consider a general nonlinear system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t))$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

If the system is flat we can write all trajectories (x(t), u(t))in terms of a finite set of variables, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ (known as the flat outputs):

$$x(t) = \Upsilon (y(t), \dot{y}(t), \ddot{y}(t), \dots, y^{(r)}(t)),$$

$$u(t) = \Psi (y(t), \dot{y}(t), \ddot{y}(t), \dots, y^{(r+1)}(t)).$$

For simplicity, we assume that the flat output is given simply as a (nonlinear) combination of the states:

$$y = h(x)$$

(This is not the most general case, $y = \Phi(x, u, \dot{u}, \ddot{u}, \dots, u^{(p)})$, but it represents many cases of practical interest.)



ECOLE DES MINES DE PARIS

Reference Trajectory Generation

Goal:

Prescribe a 'desired' reference trajectory for the flat output, $y^{ref}(t)$, and obtain the corresponding input and state trajectories:

$$\begin{cases} u^{\text{ref}}(t) = \Psi\left(y^{\text{ref}}(t), \dot{y}^{\text{ref}}(t), \dots, (y^{\text{ref}}(t))^{(r+1)}\right), \\ x^{\text{ref}}(t) = \Upsilon\left(y^{\text{ref}}(t), \dots, (y^{\text{ref}}(t))^{(r)}\right) \end{cases}$$



Challenge:

Constraints: $u(t) \in \mathbb{U}, \ x(t) \in \mathbb{X}$

 \Rightarrow

Iterative Method:

• Parametrise $y^{ref}(t)$ with splines (performance objectives).

- Evaluate constraints using MPC for trajectory tracking.
- Reshape $y^{ref}(t)$ based on the "feedback from present and future constraints."





The method can be applied in a receding horizon fashion:



Parameterisation of flat outputs using splines

We consider the problem of steering the system from an initial state at time t_0 to a final state at time t_f . We parameterise the flat outputs $y_j(t)$, j = 1, ..., m,

$$y_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i(t) P_{ij}; \qquad t \in [t_0, t_f],$$

where $\lambda_i \in C^{r+1}[t_0, t_f], i = 1, ..., N$, is a set of basis functions.



ECOLE DES MINES DE PARIS Discretise at a set of M+1 sampling times, $t_0, t_1, ..., t_f$

$$Y_{j} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} y_{j}(t_{0}), \\ y_{j}(t_{1}), \\ \vdots \\ y_{j}(t_{f}) \end{bmatrix} = G_{0} \begin{bmatrix} P_{1j}, \\ \vdots \\ P_{Nj} \end{bmatrix} = G_{0}P_{j},$$

where

$$G_0 \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(t_0) & \dots & \lambda_N(t_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1(t_f) & \dots & \lambda_N(t_f) \end{bmatrix}$$

is the *basis function matrix* (also known as *blending matrix*). Collecting all the *m* flat outputs,

$$Y \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 & \dots & Y_m \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} y_1(t_0) & y_2(t_0) & \dots & y_m(t_0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1(t_f) & y_2(t_f) & \dots & y_m(t_f) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= G_0 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & \dots & P_m \end{bmatrix} = G_0 P = Y(P)$$





ECOLE DES MINES DE PARIS

Similarly for the flat output derivatives:

$$Y^{(1)} = G_1 P ; \quad Y^{(2)} = G_2 P ; \quad Y^{(3)} = G_3 P ; \quad \dots \quad Y^{(r+1)} = G_{r+1} P ;$$

where $Y^{(q)} \triangleq [Y_1^{(q)} Y_2^{(q)} \dots Y_m^{(q)}]$, and
$$Y_j^{(q)} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^q}{dt^q} y_j(t) \big|_{t=t_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d^q}{dt^q} y_j(t) \big|_{t=t_f} \end{bmatrix} ; \quad G_q \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^q}{dt^q} \lambda_1(t) \big|_{t=t_0} \dots \dots & \frac{d^q}{dt^q} \lambda_N(t) \big|_{t=t_0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{d^q}{dt^q} \lambda_1(t) \big|_{t=t_f} \dots & \frac{d^q}{dt^q} \lambda_N(t) \big|_{t=t_f} \end{bmatrix}$$

The rows of the *N* x *m* matrix *P* are *m*-dimensional vectors called <u>control points</u>:





In this work we have used 'Clamped B-splines,' which results in G_0 , G_1 , ... having a particular structure:





From:

ECOLE DES MINES

$$\frac{d^q}{dt^q}y_j(t)\Big|_{t=t_0} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{d^q}{dt^q}\lambda_i(t)\Big|_{t=t_0}P_{ij},$$

we can see that, e.g., prescribed position, first and second derivatives can be maintained fixed at t_0 and t_f (*rest-to-rest*) by hoding the external control points (three top and three bottom rows of *P*) fixed.

Given an initial reference trajectory and the corresponding control points *P*^{ref}, the idea is to change the <u>internal control points</u> of *P*^{ref} to shape the trajectory. We reparameterise the control points as:

$$P = P^{\text{ref}} + \rho \hat{P}; \qquad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\I\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where matrix \hat{P} parameterises the deviation from the 'internal' control points of $P^{\rm ref}$





OLE DES MINES DE PARIS

<u>Using MPC to shape the reference trajectory</u>

Given a specified reference trajectory for the flat outputs, parameterised by reference control points P^{ref} , for j=1,...,m:

> $\triangleq u(t) - u^{\mathsf{ref}}(t),$ $\triangleq x(t) - x^{\mathsf{ref}}(t),$

 $\triangleq y(t) - y^{\mathsf{ref}}(t)$,

interval $T_s \triangleq (t_f - t_0)/M$



Compute variation of internal control points \hat{P}_{j}^{mpc} that solves $G_0(P_{j}^{\text{ref}} + \rho \hat{P}_{j}^{\text{mpc}}) = Y_{j}^{\text{mpc}}$ (in a least-squares sense)

$$\widehat{P}_{j}^{\mathsf{mpc}} = \left((G_0 \,\rho)^{\mathsf{T}} G_0 \,\rho \right)^{-1} \left(G_0 \,\rho \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(Y_j^{\mathsf{mpc}} - G_0 P_j^{\mathsf{ref}} \right)^{\mathsf{mpc}}$$

 $Y_j^{\text{mpc}} \triangleq \mathbf{C}_j \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 \\ \Gamma \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{opt}} + \Omega \tilde{x}_0 \end{bmatrix} + Y_j^{\text{ref}}$







Iterative method for ref. trajectory generation

Iterative Algorithm

Start with initial control points $P^{\text{ref},0}$ that parameterise an initial reference trajectory $Y^{\text{ref},0} = G_0 P^{\text{ref},0}$ (generated based on performance considerations);

Step 1. Given a set of control points $P^{\text{ref},k}$;

Step 2. Compute $Y^{\text{ref},k} = G_0 P^{\text{ref},k}$;

Step 3. Compute $Y_j^{\text{mpc},k}$, in general a nonlinear function of $P^{\text{ref},k}$, $Y^{\text{mpc},k} = G(P^{\text{ref},k})$;







Step 4. Find the variation of the control points that gives a reference trajectory that is closest to $Y^{mpc,k}$:

 $\widehat{P}_{i}^{\mathsf{mpc,k}} = \left((G_{0}\,\rho)^{\mathsf{T}}G_{0}\,\rho \right)^{-1} \left(G_{0}\,\rho \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(Y_{i}^{\mathsf{mpc,k}} - G_{0}P_{i}^{\mathsf{ref,k}} \right).$

Step 5. Update the control points according to: $P^{\text{ref},k+1} = P^{\text{ref},k} + \rho \hat{P}^{\text{mpc},k}.$

Step 6.

6. While (a weighted 2-norm of) the difference $(P^{\text{ref},k+1} - P^{\text{ref},k})$ is larger than a prescribed tolerance level and the maximum number of iterations is not reached: assign $P^{\text{ref},k} \leftarrow P^{\text{ref},k+1}$ and go to Step 1.







Note from Steps 1–5 that the proposed algorithm implements a recursion:

$$P^{\text{ref},k+1} = F(P^{\text{ref},k})$$

whose complexity depends predominantly on the (in general, nonlinear) mapping $Y^{mpc,k} = G(P^{ref,k})$.

The convergence properties of this recursive mapping, will be investigated in future work.



The system's state and input can be completely determined from the flat output and its derivatives up finite order:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ \arctan(\frac{\dot{y}}{\dot{x}}) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} \\ \arctan\left(l\frac{\ddot{y}\dot{x} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}}{(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)^{3/2}}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$







Figure 1: Initial reference trajectory, 2nd and 50th iteration. (a) Flat output $y = (d_x, d_y)$; (b) Measure of convergence η_k ; (c) Input u(t); and, (d) Input $\varphi(t)$.

$$\eta_k = \sum_{j=1}^m (P_j^{\text{ref,k}} - P_j^{\text{ref,k-1}})^{\mathrm{T}} G_0^{\mathrm{T}} G_0 (P_j^{\text{ref,k}} - P_j^{\text{ref,k-1}})$$

